ABBREVIATED PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

11.20.04

This checklist can be used to help the site investigator determine if an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment (APA) is warranted. This checklist should document the rationale for the decision on whether further steps in the site investigation process are required under CERCLA. Use additional sheets, if necessary.

Checklist Preparer:	Shelly Jackson Environmental Specialist //- 20 (NamerTitle) AD BOX 176, Jefferson Cty MO 65102 573-75. (Address) Shelly, Jackson & drur, MO. GOV		
Site Name:	(E-Mail Address) Springfield Branson Regional Airport		
Previous Names (if any):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Site Location: Latitude: 37, 24	5000 W. Kearney Street (Street) Spring field (City) (ST) (Zip) 18933 Longitude: 73, 37817	·•	
plume in the ace known to use TCE resulted in contam	ntial release) and its probable nature: There is a known TCE a. Tenants of the Springfield Branson Regional A. Improper disposal of TCE containing solvents a inated soils and migration of TCE to the grown	icport	are_
Part 1 - Superfund Eligibility	Evaluation		
If all answers are "no" go o	n to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.	YEŞ	NO
	n to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3. ERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?		NO C
Is the site currently in C		YES	
Is the site currently in C Is the site being address Are the hazardous substructural gas, to	ERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?	X	
Is the site currently in C Is the site being address Are the hazardous substructure petroleum, natural gas, fertilizer, release located OSHA)?	CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site? ed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)? tances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or tances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e.,	×	<u> </u>
Is the site currently in C Is the site being address Are the hazardous subst petroleum, natural gas, fertilizer, release located OSHA)? 4. Are the hazardous subst deferred to RCRA corre 5. Is there sufficient documenvironmental or human data showing no release	CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site? ed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)? tances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or tances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e.,	×.	X X
1. Is the site currently in C 2. Is the site being address 3. Are the hazardous subst petroleum, natural gas, fertilizer, release located OSHA)? 4. Are the hazardous subst deferred to RCRA corre 5. Is there sufficient documenvironmental or human data showing no release hazardous substance release explain all "yes" answ	ERCLIS or an "alias" of another site? ed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)? tances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or tances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e., active action)? Inentation to demonstrate that no potential for a release that could cause adverse in health impacts exists (e.g., comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent above ARARs, completed removal action, documentation showing that no eases have occurred, or an EPA approved risk assessment completed)? er(s). The Spring Feld Branson Regional	Aicpo	o xx
1. Is the site currently in C 2. Is the site being address 3. Are the hazardous subst petroleum, natural gas, fertilizer, release located OSHA)? 4. Are the hazardous subst deferred to RCRA corre 5. Is there sufficient documenvironmental or human data showing no release hazardous substance release explain all "yes" ansween the control of the contr	ERCLIS or an "alias" of another site? ed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)? tances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of d in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or annexes potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e., active action)? Internation to demonstrate that no potential for a release that could cause adverse in health impacts exists (e.g., comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent above ARARs, completed removal action, documentation showing that no eases have occurred, or an EPA approved risk assessment completed)? er(s). The Springfeld Branson Regional CERCLIS as the result of a Desk Top	Airpo Revie	of was
1. Is the site currently in C 2. Is the site being address 3. Are the hazardous subst petroleum, natural gas, fertilizer, release located OSHA)? 4. Are the hazardous subst deferred to RCRA corres 5. Is there sufficient documenvironmental or human data showing no release hazardous substance release hazardous substance release explain all "yes" ansween teach on to conducted Mc	ERCLIS or an "alias" of another site? ed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)? tances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or tances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e., active action)? Inentation to demonstrate that no potential for a release that could cause adverse in health impacts exists (e.g., comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent above ARARs, completed removal action, documentation showing that no eases have occurred, or an EPA approved risk assessment completed)? er(s). The Spring Feld Branson Regional	Airpo Revie	of was

40255739
SUPERFUND RECORDS

A-1

Part 2 - Initial Site Evaluation

For Part 2, if information is not available to make a "yes" or "no" response, further investigation may be needed. In these cases, determine whether an APA is appropriate. Exhibit 1 parallels the questions in Part 2. Use Exhibit 1 to make decisions in Part 3.

If t	he answer is "no" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed directly to Part 3.	YES	NO	
1.	Does the site have a release or a potential to release?	_ X		
2.	·			
3.	Does the site have documented on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets?	Þ	0	
	he answers to questions 1, 2, and 3 above were all "yes" then answer the questions below before ceeding to Part 3.	YES	NO	
4.	Does documentation indicate that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?			
5.	. Is there an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site?			
6.	Is there an apparent release and no documented on-site targets or targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets (e.g., targets within 1 mile)?			
7.				
	<u> </u>	_ 		
	·	<u></u>		

EXHIBIT 1 SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION GUIDELINES FOR A SITE

Exhibit 1 identifies different types of site information and provides some possible recommendations for further site assessment activities based on that information. You will use Exhibit 1 in determining the need for further action at the site, based on the answers to the questions in Part 2. Please use your professional judgement when evaluating a site. Your judgement may be different from the general recommendations for a site given below.

Sus	spected/Documented Site Conditions	APA	Full PA	PA/\$I	SI	
1.	There are no releases or potential to release.			No	No	No
2.	No uncontained sources with CERCLA-eligible substances are present on site.		Yes	No	No	No
3.	There are no on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets.		Yes	No	No	No
4.	There is documentation indicating that a target (e.g., drinking water	Option 1: APA ➪ Si	Yes	No	No	Yes
	wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes	NA
5.	There is an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site.	Option 1: APA ♥ SI	Yes	No	No	Yes
		Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes	NA
6.	There is an apparent release and no documented on-site targets and no documented targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets. Nearby targets are those targets that are located within 1 mile of the site and have a relatively high likelihood of exposure to a hazardous substance migration from the site.		No	Yes	No	No
7.	There is no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site.		No	Yes	No	No

Part 3 - EPA Site Assessment Decision

When completing Part 3, use Part 2 and Exhibit 1 to select the appropriate decision. For example, if the answer to question 1 in Part 2 was "no," then an APA may be performed and the "NFRAP" box below should be checked. Additionally, if the answer to question 4 in Part 2 is "yes," then you have two options (as indicated in Exhibit 1): Option 1 -- conduct an APA and check the "Lower Priority SI" or "Higher Priority SI" box below; or Option 2 -- proceed with a combined PA/SI assessment.

Che	eck the box that applies based	on the conclusion	ons of the APA:
	NFRAP		Refer to Removal Program - further site assessment needed
R/	Higher Priority SI	Ò	Refer to Removal Program - NFRAP
ò	Lower Priority SI		Site is being addressed as part of another CERCLIS site
0	Defer to RCRA Subtitle C		Other:
	Defer to NRC		
Mic Reg		nelly Jackson nt Name/Signature	Shelly Jackson 11-20-04 Date

FLEASE EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR YOUR DECISION:
There is a known TCE ground water plume in the vicinity of
the Springfield Branson Regional Airport. A sampling event
on February 22, 1995 found six inches of free product
(determined to be TCE) in a monitoring well located on
air port property
Past tenants of the Spring field Branson Regional Airport
are known to have used TCE containing Solvents according
to their no hazardous waste generator reports. Improper
disposal of TCE containing solvents may have occurred,
resulting in contaminated soils and the migration of TCF
into area groundwater.
A Site Inspection is recommended to determine if a release has occurred at the Springfield Branson Regional Airpor
release has occurred at the Springfield Rranson Regional Airpor

NOTES: